1 _.	James A. Bruen (State Bar No. 43880) Charles M. Sink (State Bar No. 078168) Carl E. Switzer (State Bar No. 211858)	
3	Arjun Agarwal (State Bar No. 233576) FARELLA BRAUN + MARTEL LLP	
4	235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104	
5	Telephone: (415) 954-4400 Facsimile: (415) 954-4480	
6	E-mail: jbruen@fbm.com E-mail: csink@fbm.com	
7	E-mail: cswitzer@fbm.com E-mail: aagarwal@fbm.com	
8	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaiman GE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS GLOE SIGNALING, LLC	t BAL
10	John W. Crowley (State Bar No. 039041)	
1	Robert B. Stringer (State Bar No. 056148) CROWLEY, STRINGER & FENSKE LLP	
12	456 Montgomery Street - 17th Floor San Francisco, California 94104-1250 Telephone: (415) 989-1100	
13	Facsimile: (415) 421-6651 E-mail: jcrowley@crowleysf.com	
14	E-mail: bstringer@crowleysf.com	
15	Additional Counsel on Signature Page	
l6 l7	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TR DISTRICT	ANSIT
18	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
20	SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID	Case No. C 06 3749 JSW
21	TRANSIT DISTRICT,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR EVIDENTIARY RULING RE
22	Plaintiff,	PARTY-PRODUCED DOCUMENTS AND ESI
23	VS.	
24	GE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS GLOBAL SIGNALING, LLC,	Complaint Filed: June 13, 2006 Trial Date: February 22, 2010
25 26	Defendant.	
27	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIM	
28		

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR EVIDENTIARY RULING RE PARTY-PRODUCED DOCS. AND ESI / Case No. C 06 3749 JSW

1	Defendant and Counterclaimant GE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS GLOBAL	
2	SIGNALING, LLC and Plaintiff and Counterdefendant SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID	
3	TRANSIT DISTRICT hereby stipulate, through counsel, that copies of documents and	
. 4	electronically-stored information ("ESI") produced by one or both of the aforesaid parties are	
5	authentic and satisfy the best evidence rule, and that sufficient foundations have been established	
6	under Rule 803(6) of the Federal Rules of Evidence so as to except the documents and ESI from	
7	the application of the hearsay rule.	
8	The parties preserve their right to object to the admission into evidence of any such	
9	documents and ESI on the ground of double-hearsay, and on any ground other than authenticity,	
10	the best evidence rule or hearsay.	
11	DATED: July 23, 2009 FARELLA BRAUN + MARTEL LLP	
12		
13	By: Chall Inl	
14	Charles M. Sink	
15	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant GE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS	
16	GLOBAL SIGNALING, LLC	
17	DATED: July 23, 2009 CROWLEY, STRINGER & FENSKE LLP	
18	DATED. July 22, 2007	
19	0.0	
20	By: John W. Crowley	
21	Additional Counsel:	
22	Richard Rosenberg (State Bar No. 067336) Rosenberg Law Firm	
23	456 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104-1250	
24 25	Telephone: (415) 675-7100 Facsimile: (415) 358-5823 E-mail: rdr@rosenberglawfirm.com	
	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant	
26 27	SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT	
28		
	STIPULATION AND IPROPOSED ORDER FOR EVIDENTIARY RULING RE PARTY-PRODUCED - 2 - 18972\1955634.2 DOCS. AND ESI / Case No. C 06 3749 JSW	

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _July 27, 2009

HON RAHLE LEFFREY S. WHITE

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR EVIDENTIARY RULING RE PARTY-PRODUCED DOCS. AND ESI / Case No. C 06 3749 JSW